FVRD- Cannabis Regulation Update

May 2021

The Fraser Valley Regional District is preparing the second phase of updates to our regulations for cannabis. The Land Use Policy for Cannabis Production, Processing and Retail Sales in the Electoral Areas summarizes the direction for this work.

- Phase 1: Cannabis land uses were restricted in Areas D, F, and H. Zoning amendments were completed in March 2020
- Phase 2: We are creating regulations for cannabis production and processing in Areas A, B, C, E, and G based on community feedback

Our goal is to create regulations that allow cannabis land uses to fit into the community and minimize any negative impacts.

Responses will be summarized and all individual responses will be confidential. Thank you for participating!

Where do you live or own property within the Fraser Valley Regional District? (See map for location)

Choice	Percentage	Count	
Area A	8.6%	40	
Area B	11.6%	54	
Area C	10.8%	50	
Area D	6.9%	32	
Area E	18.7%	87	
Area F	8.0%	37	
Area G	13.6%	63	
Area H	3.7%	17	
FVRD Municipality	14.0%	65	
Outside the FVRD	4.3%	20	
Total	100%	465	

How interested are you in seeing updates to FVRD cannabis regulations? Respondents: 423

Choice	Percentage	Count	
Very interested	44.9%	190	
Interested	31.7%	134	
Neutral	15.1%	64	
Not very interested	4.7%	20	
Not at all interested	3.6%	15	
Total	100%	423	

How concerned are you about negative impacts from cannabis production in your area?

Choice	Percentage	Count	
Very concerned	42.4%	180	
Concerned	26.1%	111	
Neutral	11.8%	50	
Not very concerned	9.4%	40	
Not at all concerned	10.4%	44	
Total	100%	425	

Do you have concerns that need to be addressed for cannabis production in the Electoral Areas? (Check all that apply)

Choice	Percentage	Count	
Large Building Sizes / Appearance	37.1%	159	
Odour	59.2%	254	
Light Pollution	44.5%	191	
Safety	45.0%	193	
Use of agricultural lands	45.2%	194	
Distance from houses	50.1%	215	
Distance from schools, parks, & public spaces	38.9%	167	
No concerns	10.7%	46	
Total	100%	429	

#	Other				
1	Growing cannabis outside has proven to be much more environmentally friendly. It concerns me that we want to restrict cannabis growth to indoors.				
2	Gang bangers harassing day and night 24/7, being watched constantly, being threatened, coerced to move, no peace rest enjoymentect,ect,ect				
3	Cannabis growth in Area E might be fine if done at large distance with consideration for residents.				
4	I don't like having to breathe in the toxic air every time I drive down my road to go home. I also don't appreciate how the legalized cannabis is encouraging a really hazardous habit and delinquent behavior for the younger youth and adolescent. It is contributing to misconduct.				
5	Increased theft, increased garbage				
6	We live on Road, there are some grower on our street and the smell is so strong.				
7	Increased traffic on rural roads not designed for this				
8	undesirable patrons				
9	Increase of illegal activities				
10	Just have control measures in place for the smell like filters etc				
11	Chemical run off into our streams that kill fish, eggs and endanger our water				
12	Chemical waste pollution				
13	Noise				

14	I answer no concerns here just for the way the question was asked. I am concerned about all the noted areas in so far as I want cannabis to be accepted in our communities and I know that these concerns exist.
15	Public sales are already an issue. As well as employing homeless vagrants to work in grow ops.
16	Public Health and social impact
17	cannabis is a social evil!!
18	We should not give land that's used for food production to pot growers.
19	Traffic
20	Loud noise from generators, heavy traffic and speed of workers
21	Massive increase in traffic/ speeding, loss of privacy, residential property used as office space, extreme loud noise from industrial generators when power is out, semi/ transport trucks on single-lane rural road, decrease in property value, complete lack of transparency, number of operations in a small area (3 on one dead end road), robbed of our neighborhood without consultation.
22	Get it done FVRD municipalities are taking to long further prolonging the illegal drug trade. Please remove you're heads from you're assets already.
23	How is it allowed to be done without permits under the "medicine" rule? Seems like a way for the market to take advantage of ALR. What about food production
24	We are a family oriented farming community. I know , first hand, the dangers that come with the production of marijuana and the risk it puts the surrounding homes at
25	The unsightly massive greenhouse on sure do not belong out here , this is farmland abs why I moved out here -it isn't right to cover the land with that -smaller places are fine but that just isn't right
26	We moved to the District of Kent to get away from Cannabis odours.
27	Noise pollution
28	Noise and crime
29	Traffic
30	they should be built on commercial to industrial land.
31	Increase in crime
32	Ridiculous amount of day and night traffic
33	water usage from the aquifer
34	extractor fan noise
35	Cannabis production should have the same set of rules as any other normal ag product
36	Unsightly premises. Ugly fences and unkept yards
37	Cannabis is not healthy. Full stop. You should not support it in any way.

Do you support having different requirements for small and large scale cannabis producers? Respondents: 420

Choice	Percentage	Count	
Support	46.2%	194	
Neutral	24.0%	101	
Don't support	21.0%	88	
Don't know	8.8%	37	
Total	100%	420	

What zones are appropriate for Small Scale cannabis production? (Check all that apply) Respondents: 419

Choice	Percentage	Count	
Agricultural	41.0%	172	
Industrial	50.8%	213	
Rural	30.6%	128	
Don't support this use	20.8%	87	
Don't know	7.2%	30	
Total	100%	419	

- 44	Othom
#	Other

- 1 If they have 20 acres to pump their toxic fumes into the air then fine, but not anywhere near any other home , farm, children, pets, person...its toxic and carcinogenic, allergenic,...its disgusting.
- 2 I don't support cannabis growers or retail of this drug at all. This is wrong!!!
- 3 Rs2 ect
- 4 guess it also depends on definition of "small scale"
- 5 Our definition of craft cannabis includes micro (up to 2,150 square feet) and small/med up to 20,000 sq feet.
- 6 Residential
- 7 up north where no one is
- 8 NOT near small/ medium acreages or residential areas where people live and raise families.
- 9 depending on whether medical(PPL or DGL) or commercial LP and size
- **10** What works for the individual is what is important, stop making rules and bowing to the police who have the biggest share in production now.
- 11 Should not be allowed in residential areas
- 12 Why should agriculture lands be lost to this product and why should rural homeowners and people who use the outdoors for recreation be subjected to the stench
- **13** No area is appropriate
- **14** away from any population
- 15 All areas for small scale as long as properly ventilated
- **16** keep all cannabis operations in one industrial sector away from homes and other types of farming

What minimum parcel size would you support for Small Scale cannabis production? Respondents: 417

Choice	Percentage	Count	
<2 ha (5 acres)	21.8%	91	
2 ha (5 acres)	18.9%	79	
4 ha (10 acres)	11.0%	46	
8 ha (20 acres)	5.8%	24	
No minimum parcel size	14.2%	59	
Don't support this use	20.9%	87	
Don't know	7.4%	31	
Total	100%	417	

How concerned are you about potential odours from Small Scale cannabis production? Respondents: 418

Choice	Percentage	Count	
Very concerned	43.3%	181	
Concerned	26.6%	111	
Neutral	11.7%	49	
Not very concerned	7.9%	33	
Not at all concerned	10.5%	44	
Total	100%	418	

How important is it to have visual screening (hedges, fences, etc.) between Small Scale cannabis production and other uses?

Choice	Percentage	Count	
Very important	40.0%	167	
Important	30.6%	128	
Neutral	17.2%	72	
Not very important	6.2%	26	
Not at all important	6.0%	25	
Total	100%	418	

What zones are appropriate for Large Scale cannabis production? (Check all that apply) Respondents: 412

Choice	Percentage	Count	
Agricultural	37.6%	155	
Industrial	59.0%	243	
Rural	21.6%	89	
No zones are appropriate Don't know	17.7%	73	
	6.1%	25	
Total	100%	412	

Other

- 1 I don't support this type of business no matter how small or large. Its wrong to legalize this!!!
- 2 Just got to fit appropriately the size of the land with the security measures in place
- 3 Don't want heavily guarded outdoor (armed criminal attractant) or massive industrial buildings in Area E
- **4** We are losing far too much agricultural land and green houses to cannabis when we should be growing vegetables & fruit not cannabis.

Depends what you consider large. We consider large > 20,000 square feet. Consider a cap on size

- **5** for large indoor operations as they are extremely energy intensive. Outdoor agriculture should be sustainable. Again, cap on size.
- 6 up north where no one is
- 7 Definitely not agricultural(a wasteful use of prime land)
- 8 By large scale which is not defined , I mean those ungodly massive greenhouses you see on
- **9** Don't make things difficult
- **10** Don't agree with large scale don't agree with small scale either but if it has to happen it should be small and as few as possible

What minimum parcel size would you support for Large Scale cannabis production? Respondents: 412

Choice	Percentage	Count	
<4 ha (10 acres)	18.2%	75	
4 ha (10 acres) 8 ha (20 acres) No minimum parcel size Don't support this use Don't know	21.4%	88	
	18.4%	76	
	13.4%	55	
	19.9%	82	
	8.7%	36	
Total	100%	412	

How concerned are you about potential odours from Large Scale cannabis production? Respondents: 411

Choice	Percentage	Count	
Very concerned	48.9%	201	
Concerned Neutral Not very concerned Not at all concerned	25.6%	105	
	11.2%	46	
	6.3%	26	
	8.0%	33	
Total	100%	411	

How concerned are you about potential light pollution from Large Scale cannabis production? Respondents: 411

Choice	Percentage	Count	
Very concerned	44.0%	181	
Concerned Neutral Not very concerned	31.1%	128	
	11.7%	48	
	7.8%	32	
Not at all concerned	5.4%	22	
Total	100%	411	

How important is it to have visual screening (hedges, fences, etc.) from Large Scale cannabis production?

Choice	Percentage	Count	
Very important	49.5%	204	
Important	28.6%	118	
Neutral	11.2%	46	
Not very important Not at all important	6.3%	26	
	4.4%	18	
Total	100%	412	

How important is it to restrict the maximum building size for Large Scale cannabis production? Respondents: 411

Choice	Percentage	Count	
Very important	43.8%	180	
Important	30.9%	127	
Neutral	15.6%	64	
Not very important	5.6%	23	
Not at all important	4.1%	17	
Total	100%	411	

What is an appropriate maximum building size for a cannabis production? Respondents: 408

Choice	Percentage	Count	
<500 sq m(~5500 sq ft)	28.9%	112	
<1000 sq m (~11,000 sq ft) <2000 sq m (~11,000 sq ft) No size limit Don't know	20.9%	81	
	10.6%	41	
	13.7%	53	
	26.0%	101	
Total	100%	408	

#	Other
1	equal to anything any other large production in our area. Should not discriminate against product grown, just make safe by enforcing laws in place
2	I don't support cannabis
3	I'm not qualified to answer this, too many factors to consider
4	Do not support this
5	Depends where its located and how close it is to homes/parks/schools.
6	Don't support
7	I don't support cannabis production
8	Don't support
9	50,000 square feet
10	No building size is appropriate
11	up north where no one is
12	dog house
13	Do not support it
14	Should be a ratio based on lot size.
15	I do not support any size of cannabis production buildings on agricultural land.
16	No building size is appropriate for cannabis production.
17	0
18	I don't want any so no size is acceptable

19	My issue is with greenhouses
20	We don't support this.
21	The grower knows his limits stop fueling the fire of division and giving yourselves a reason to fine others trying to make a living
22	The setbacks to property lines and neighboring properties is very important to me in both large and small scale
23	This should be kept a business where small business can survive and not just for huge corporations
24	Only allow in industrial area
25	N/A
26	Again large scale should not happen you are impacting everyone but if you are forcing us to live with it then small scale and few as possible in industrial areas
27	I do not support any size.
28	None. Zero sq ft.

Do you have other ideas or concerns about Large Scale cannabis production? Respondents: 139

#	Do you have other ideas or concerns about Large Scale cannabis production?
1	No concerns at all
2	How big is the smell?
3	I feel like all agricultural endeavors they should be open to all farmers.
4	To tell you the truth, I'm worried
5	Will the waste in production cause harm to human body, and will there be light pollution in long-term production
6	Don't bring trouble
7	Influenza
8	No
9	Crime is prone to rise
10	Designating laws and regulations that prohibit marijuana use by teenagers to protect them from harm
11	Hopefully people will regulate the use of cannabis and not let people go astray
12	While producing cannabis, we must not forget the development of other industries
13	Marijuana is highly susceptible to bacterial infection and is best quarantined
14	They worry about the health of teenagers and don't want them to use marijuana
15	The use of agricultural land will be affected and the gap between rich and poor farmers will widen
16	The distance from the house should be controlled
17	Health of family and friends
18	The gap between rich and poor farmers will widen
19	It can damage the nervous and immune systems
20	I hope the government is sensible and will not affect the lives of the residents
21	no
22	Health that is life-threatening affects the health of young people
23	I don't have anything to say
23	Worrying about planting quality
24	It must be under the premise of protecting the environment

25	How to reduce the number of marijuana users. Marijuana is harmful to your health. Try to minimize the inhalation of marijuana
26	Worried about the smell
27	yes
28	Air poisoning
29	On the environment
30	Tidy up often, clean and tidy
31	I am very worried about this practice, and also very worried that it will affect the growth of children and the disorder of social order.
32	Concern about environmental impact
33	We don't need any large scale productions in our town
34	Fear of bad influence
35	Stay away from populated areas; Use high walls as partitions
36	Crime. Dumping of used material eg fertilizer / soil. This also applies to small scale prod.
37	just make sure we get it out of residential
38	Odour, limited agricultural land which should be allocated for food crops, concerned about water extraction to grow plants
39	I think they need to make it illegal again. I don't support any of this.
40	Whether the soil is suitable
41	Pay attention to environmental pollution
42	The problem of pollution
43	Stay away from the city
44	Polluting large
45	Pollute the environment There is noise
46	There is no reason whatever to support any large scale cannabis production.
47	The larger the scale, the more problems potentially associated. Large scale industries such as this are only appropriate in industrial areas that have existing infrastructure to handle them.
48	Seems we won't have much choice
49	The SMELL, though this was already addressed, if the smell isn't contained, it permeates everything including neighbouring buildings.
50	The Chilliwack River Valley would be much better suited to small scale cannabis production.
51	Do not want this in our valley
-	

52	The sheer size of them. Don't think I want our area to look like the area by the Aldergrove Border Crossing, although greenhouses are greenhouses, whether they support cannabis or other vegetables
53	Regulations dealing with fencing or hedging in residential areas are not sufficient to deal with odie and the potential for violence associated with grow ops.
54	I dont support this at all in Area E
55	Should be done only with the public in mind. Working next to an obnoxious door all day would be awful. Also could devalue a neighbour's property value.
56	Just control the odours via proper filtration then it is fine for production of cannabis don't like the smell but ok with the growing if done properly
57	It doesn't matter how large the property is. If the buildings are located close lot boundaries near to homes/roads/parks/schools while on a large piece of land, there will still be the negative impacts of smells, aesthetics and ambience of our community. When community members work very hard to maintain a welcoming and pleasant home area this can be quickly undone with a large facility surrounded by razor wire. I'd be interested to know if it could reduce the value of our properties.
58	Was there consultation with First Nations?
59	Drugs lead to violence
60	Safety is a concern
61	Already large Quonset Hut production at west end of Chilliwack Lake Rd
62	Properly plan indoor sanitary conditions, and staff responsible for planting will receive regular health checkups.
63	Minimize the damage and try our best to protect the earth's ecosystem.
64	Degree of harm to the environment
65	Continue to pay attention to the changes of soil, the changes of soil quality will affect the later use
66	Do not worry
67	far from the city
68	Pay attention to air pollution and effectively control industrial pollution
69	The effect of growing hemp on soil quality
70	Try to solve the problem of environmental pollution and noise
71	Harm to the human body
72	Covid has shown just how vulnerable we are in BC when it comes to producing our own vegetables and fruit. Why allow the growing of cannabis and then import our food? We need to be as food sustainable as possible.
73	I hope the government will pay close attention to marijuana cultivation
74	Worried about safety and addiction

75	Very energy and water intensive. All agricultural industries should be encouraged to be sustainable.
76	We have noticed that recent sales in the Chilliwack river Valley seem to be purchased and immediately start producing cannabis, evident by added electrical, dark plastic covering all windows, horrible odour, and frequently see vehicles going from one grow op to another, potentially bringing more crime into our valley.
77	My only concern is with onsite sales and the extra traffic in the area.
78	Local people should have priority for jobs.
79	I think they would be very willing to deal with any real issues a neighbour may have.
80	Our country currently has a surplus of cannibus and it would not be economically wise to waste the fertile farmland of the Fraser Valley to grow even more
81	Waste of prime and scarce agricultural food production land. Odors in neighborhoods reduce desirability of housing in that area. Odors are not enjoyable at all. Fire hazards. Employee safety.
82	we have enough pot heads in the valley already
83	Don't put any cannabis production plants/buildings near any residential areas. Big or small.
84	People move in and out of the area, also areas where cannabis will be grown. When new people move in they may be confronted with ex post facto situation if before their move the operation has initiated practices that were not sanctioned when their permits were initially granted.
85	Amount, and speed of workers, trucks/couriers on small rural roads. Total lack of transparency for residents. Amount of operations in small areas.
86	no
87	Keep it out of residential areas
88	Security issues
89	Cannabis is not a crop suited for agricultural land use, these are industrial type buildings that do not require large amounts of land. More suited for industrial type location, where issues of light pollution, traffic, smell and unsightly buildings are less of a concern
90	Safety and pollution
91	They should go in industrial areas. Also concerned about the amount of water they use.
92	No it should not be located on ALR land.
93	I don't mind large scale cannabis production taking place, but visually, I'd hate to see entire properties be taken up by grow facilities with zero green space. Also, obviously, I would hope that appropriate waste management takes place (eg water runoff doesn't end up in streams, etc).
94	Large scale cannabis production should be isolated away from residential buildings. They do not belong as our neighbors. I know as I have lived beside a small scale growing operation for years. The smell is horrible all day, it attracts marginal people, the waste soil is being dumped on the property and contaminating the water source and you living beside people that are under the influence of a drug. Before you decide to approve small or large scale grow ops try living beside one yourself.

95	Using ALR for something that can be produced in a greenhouse. Looser restrictions for medicine uses.
96	Have one started next door. They are no asset to the agriculture land & we worry about the smell it produces & how they will dispose of the contaminated soil. We also worry about what they have done to the way of country life. They have taken a home that a family should have been able to buy& settle in. They are not even living there.
97	Depending on the type of marijuana grow operation in place, it can lead to large amounts of land pollution. As in the water that the nutrients are Mixed in can be flushed into the ground. The soil that is used to grow the plants in, it's only used for one crop and then discarded. This could lead to land pollution and the introduction of harmful chemicals to the surrounding farmland
98	Should not be allowed in farming and areas where small children are growing up
99	The impact of the building on the soil. Large scale greenhouse farming of any kind will render acres of ALR farm land useless for generations, long after the building is gone. ALR land should stay reserved for the production of FOOD. I support and use cannabis, but I strongly do not believe it belongs on agricultural land.
100	Yes, I don't want my neighbourhood involved in this
101	1) In appropriate use of agricultural lands; often we see sites that used to produce food being switched to growing cannabis. 2) Illegal dumping of grow op refuse, 3) Chemical and water use for the grow op, 4) Cannabis production sites do not participate or contribute to the sense of community 5) Adjacent property values decrease 6) Promotion of drug use in society and especially in children.
102	It should be understood that the control of odour and light pollution is easier to control inside buildings than greenhouses or fields
103	My biggest and overall concern is the odour that would impact neighbors that even live within 10 km distance. I personally find that the odour of cannabis stinks far worse that any animal odour e.g. manure. I also feel that any large scale operation would also attract a criminal element for theft.
104	Yes, the extra traffic brought into our area as well as the type of people it brings. We have small children and don't want this in our neighborhood
105	Again I don't want any
106	the greenhouses I've seen go up all over the lower mainland, the one on road really being the worst because if it's massive size, are eyesores and are taking away from the places for farm produce they cause massive light pollution and pose who knows what other risks to the neighbors -I would move if I was forced to live next to that -I did not move out to beautiful nicomen island to be next to or have to drive by that -I know cannibus has many health benefits that can be met by smaller
107	The cannabis production growers are struggling to sell their product. Why promote more? I have lung issues and moved to the District of Kent to have clean air. I really struggle with the cannabis odour!!!!
108	Let the grower take responsibility stop making rules. They are childish and not needed
109	We living in the Dewdney area follow all the building guidelines and codes. Then a grow op springs up overnight (Illegal)and nothing is done about it. This has got to stop!!!!
110	Traffic and garbage on property on both large and small scale production.

- **111** Should be kept in industrial areas because of the noise and odour concerns.
- **112** If it's completely indoors smell and light shouldn't be a problem.

113 Will not form a bad phenomenon

- **114** They should be built in industrial or already zoned for commercial use.
- 115 Does not belong in ALR, no inspections, illegal activity, smell

The smell is a major concern. I have worked at 2 major production facilities and no matter how hard they try the smell is still bad! We have also lived next to a facility in Area G and typically

- 116 the owners do not live in the house which leaves the house and property unkept and looking un appealing! We live in a beautiful city and I would rather not look out my window at a metal fence and over sized hedges and a poor attempt to cover the smell. These facilities should be located far away from
- **117** Concerned about break-insurance. Potential for increased crime in the area. Concerned about increased use of cannabs use in the population.
- 118 I think large scale cannabis productions should be pushed out of the larger towns and brought out into rural areas and smaller town in order to increase employment opportunities -
- I have heard of issues when people are trying to build a cannabis production on ALR land. For example not being able to build permanent buildings, or not being able to bring in outside soil
- or rock to make a base for a non-permanent building to be constructed. Will this be an issue locally?
- **120** No grow operations in residential areas
- **121** Should not be allowed on agriculture land at all.
- **122** Odours are horrible.
- 123The number of allowed growing facilities in one area. Down one small dead end road in
Dewdney there are 4 known grow ops in barns and shops.
- Yes I am very concerned about the disgusting smell and the fact that these places leech into agriculture and rural areas. Driving around Chilliwack and the rural areas are just pockets of
- 124 this products smell it is disgusting this city is losing all its charm to over building, poor infrastructure and this disgusting smell.

I have grave concerns regarding the impact on Health and Safety, and the impact that the disgusting Odor would have on quality of life in Chilliwack. I disagree completely with the production of cannabis, and indeed in any location in the proximity of Chilliwack, B.C.
All I know is that when I drive by cannabis production places in Aldergrove smell precedes it by miles and the lights disturb families and wildlife. Even in my area I am subjected to the stench

- 126 of cannabis nearly every day on walks even in winter. Cigarettes are now mostly unacceptable everywhere why is smoking cannabis now inflicted on the nonusing population which is the majority. I liked it better when cannabis was illegal. That kept it where it belong, out of the mainstream.
- **127** We are now seeing these abandoned such as the ones in Langley/Aldergrove they will prove to be too expensive too large for other uses

We are ruining our area. It was beautiful farm country before and now we have ugly buildinggoing up with people who aren't even locals destroying the landscape. I want to raise my family

here. Having three grow ops on my road all on lots under 5 acres is ridiculous.

129	Cannabis production should have the same set of rules as any other normal ag product
130	That it's Canadian investments going into it. Not out of country investors who do not have to deal with the effects of the operations
131	This is a ridiculous idea. Cannabis is not worth spending any government time or money on. Stop thinking about it. Just let it go away.
132	Stop delaying what's legalized. In addition what's with all the smell questions? I routinely smell agriculture farming already (manure, chicken farms)etc. Stop living in the past and tap into an industry generating billions in revenue. We are a farm community and can take advantage of the expertise we have in the FVRD to grow a new industry bringing in more investment, jobs, and growth.
133	Due to the nature of business, it should be qualified for industrial use to reduce a potential risk for the use of the valued agricultural lands for the production of cannabis. They need to pay the appropriate fees for taxes as that is not an agricultural/food related grow.
134	Keep all cannabis production out of residential areas and away from other types of farming. Should have it's own industrial sector
135	light pollution
136	Worry about light pollution
137	Security issues
138	Worrying about safety
139	Only that we need more of it.

Do you have any ideas or concerns about indoor cannabis production on Agricultural Lands? Respondents: 179

#	Do you have any ideas or concerns about indoor cannabis production on Agricultural Lands?
1	Yes, the skunk like odour it produces which carries and affects all those around.
2	I'm afraid the output won't go up
3	There are concerns about contamination of crops
9	Marijuana is highly susceptible to bacterial infection and is best quarantined
10	Worried that light pollution will affect people's lives
11	Worried about the strong smell
12	The use of agricultural land will be affected and the gap between rich and poor farmers will widen
13	The distance from the house should be controlled
14	Once cannabis becomes infected, the damage can be devastating
15	Marijuana is highly susceptible to bacterial infection and is best quarantined
16	Not open, not transparent
17	They worry about the health of teenagers and don't want them to use marijuana
18	Once cannabis becomes infected, the damage can be devastating
19	Worried about the strong smell
21	Health of family and friends
22	Hopefully people will regulate the use of cannabis and not let people go astray
23	Not open, not transparent
24	Concern about environmental impact
25	Can not be grown indoors, will seriously harm human health
26	Taste great
27	Worried about marijuana leftovers
28	I'll support you
29	not quite clear; not quite sure
30	Will it cause harm to the crops? If there is harm, we hope not to build around the crops
31	It is a form of agriculture utilizing green houses so I feel agricultural land is an appropriate location.
32	land pollution .environmental

33 Air poisoning 34 There's nothing wrong with this 35 I am very worried about this practice, the gas will be afraid to affect the quality of the air. 36 Smell is too large 37 It can't affect our lives 38 The main concern would be the smell for sure but also safety and security to keep crime out As long as they are far and few between, out on farm lands, so as to not affect surrounding 39 properties or neighbors from smell, light, or possible fire. Should have to install fire suppression systems so they dont take time away from our fire dept Indoors may affect growth 40 41 Many 42 It is mainly to deal with the smell and pollution I think they need approval because they could be growing hemp as well and growing things that 43 help people. People near me have small acreages that are zoned agricultural, and produce/and/or, process cannabis,...they seem to think that my property is a toxic fume waste dump...its gassing me to death to say the least ,and my animals horses)..who have no choice of escape as they are 44 restricted to an area of my property in the winter where their dwelling is located. I've made numerous complaints to rcmp, district of mission, about it, and the activity continues covertly without licensing or regulations. 45 keep agricultural lands for food production. 46 similar concerns as stated above 47 Indoor cannabis production should be zoned industrial to protect agricultural lands I have concerns with anything other than food or consumable products being grown on agricultural land. Food shortages are real as opposed to all these places (using an example Devry) just using up as much land as humanely possible. Too many flower producers, too many 48 non food plants grown in the Fraser Valley. Let's push for food growers!! Cannabis has had an extremely bad rollout in Canada and the topic of cannabis is not as volatile in the market as last year. 49 Our land should not be used for this. There are much more important things to consider 50 Worrying about workers' pay and treatment 51 Worried about the quality 52 Ecological pollution 53 Food contamination 54 no

55	It pollutes agriculture
56	yes these operations should be major taxed due to the fact on ag land being used as a tax break
57	I hope that this will create jobs with fair wages and safe working conditions.
58	Agricultural lands are necessary to produce food. Cannabis is NOT food and NO agricultural lands should ever be allowed to be wasted growing an unnecessary crop like Cannabis.
59	Pollution
60	No concerns.
61	It's ruining neighbourhoods. Why should I have to suffer because my neighbours wants to put a grow op on a 3 acre piece of property?
62	I do not believe agricultural lands are suitable for cannabis production. Our agricultural lands are under enough stress from increasing population and the associated encroachment of housing, industry etc. already.
63	yes, that the odours overwhelm the environment
64	If done cleanly and professionally, no concerns whatsoever. Buildings (greenhouses) should be kept to a scale that is in harmony with the rural, small farm, and recreational character of the region (Chilliwack River Valley). Large scale industrial growing in this area would destroy the values that make this such a beautiful place. Small scale production would fit in well with the existing land use of the region.
65	Do not support this
66	the smell, light pollution, and undesirable people in neighbourhood
67	ALR should be protected for food only
68	Large scale grow ops ought to be on large parcels of land with adequate scrubbers to deal with the very strong odour associated with them. Licensing ought to have conditions attached regather above and provide for good, on-site supervision and inspection.
69	The odor it causes for the neighborhood. In our area we believe that there are a couple of grown ops and at times it smells quite bad and for a great distance. There are also concerns about how safe these setup are as far as wiring being a fire hazard in a community with restricted fire protection.
70	I dont support this
71	Venting is a concern. Up here on Chilliwack Lake, 75 residential units suffer when the growers ho here vent. It's awful. Hot summer days and you have to close the Windows all day. It's not right.
72	Control the smell to the maximum possible option cost should not be a factor when it comes to control smell carbon filter etc if done wright there should be no smell then it is fine business and use of land
73	I don't agree with any indoor production, on cement floors, on agricultural lands. What is the reasoning to put green houses, for example on ag lands when they could be located anywhere when they aren't using the soils and other characteristics qualifying land as ag land? The only advantage is if located on ag lands allows a good distance from homes reducing the impact of light emission and smell on homes.

74	The scent can be nauseating. We already have an operation up the Chilliwack River Valley and driving by it the smell is obnoxious. Part of my daily walking route was to go past there but with the smell I no longer do that. Perhaps there should just be a plain and simple a limit of growing/production facilities in the valley.
75	Our food growing capacity is far more important than our cannabis production capacity, and Agricultural Lands need to be maintained for food growing
76	Safety and legitimacy
77	Concerned that drugs lead to many forms of violence from production to user.
78	Production should never be allowed on agricultural land due to the abundance of chemicals used.
79	i think ALR land should be used for food production. Improving Food security in our region should be paramount in terms of ALR land use. Greenhouse production of Cannabis does not need to take up valuable agricultural land.
80	I dont think agricultural land should be used for cannabis production. It takes away from BC grown fruits and vegetables
81	I don't think paving over Ag Land to put up a greenhouse is good use of that land. Is clear cutting 20 acres of land to grow cannabis anything other than industrialization of nature?
82	The staff responsible for planting receive regular health checkups, and the use of land in the early stage should be planned reasonably and used in a standardized manner.
83	The sanitation of indoor environment should be improved
84	Indoor hygienic environment and the degree of harm to air quality
85	The diffusion of odors, ventilation equipment, and the impact on the environment.
86	Do not worry
87	Worried about pollution
88	Prevention of land pollution
89	The effect of growing hemp on the soil
90	Drainage pollution noise and other problems
91	Harm to the human body, impact on the ecological environment
92	Sure do! For too long BC has allowed building of large homes on land we need for agriculture and now we allow mega-size green houses to produce cannabis. Also don't want to see the outdoor growing of cannabis.
93	Environmental pollution, air pollution
94	These crops must be subjected to strict control, surveillance by the authorities
95	Cannabis is an industrial product so only to be produced in industrial zones
96	Introduce a size limitation for buildings on ALR land. Allow craft producers to retrofit existing buildings in ALR.
97	Inspections to check on plant numbers, odor control, and inspected power install and use

- 98 Not on agricultural land. Only on industrial lands.
- 99 No ideas, would like to see them all removed from residential and rural residential areas.
- **100** My concerns again is with onsite sales and the traffic it brings.
- **101** Local people should have priority for jobs.
- **102** This has to be allowed so that we can change over to hemp made products that are actually good for the environment. Anything plastic could be made from hemp!
- **103** Agricultural lands should not be used for Cannabis production.
- **104** Farm land should be food crops or animal food crops only.
- 105 As already noted, I believe it would be a very poor use of fertile productive land
- **106** Food is more important than pot.
- **107** Proximity to residences, and roads. The odour is offensive.

108 No

- The fact that they are put in areas before residents even know, without any consultation with
- **109** residents. Locals property values and residents concerns are not taken into consideration or even considered.

PLEASE get them out of our neighborhood. And no more large or small added. 2 new ones have appeared on the same road within the past 2 months so it seems FVRD would rather ask forgiveness rather than permission so to speak. has devastated our neighborhood

- 110 in all the ways previously listed: safety- traffic/ speeding including dozens of staff, maintenance and transport trucks/ semis backing down our single lane road, loss of privacy, noise, generators, smell, offices next door, etc
- **111** Not a good use of our very fertile and productive farmland
- **112** Yes this changes the entire environment of where we reside

113 if it is an indoor operation it should be in an industrial setting, not wasting large areas of agricultural land that could be used for uses more conducive to farming

- 114 do not allow
- **115** It should happen in industrial areas.

The cannabis growers in Area F tend to have some real jerks that tend to the hedges. There also seems to be a lot more traffic/people coming and going at all times. People come and go

- 116 between the hours of 10pm and 6am. The amount of light that they produce in the name of security has deleterious effect upon the rural character of the community, the species that live here, and the neighbours who moved into this area to have complete darkness during the nighttime.
- **117** Huge metal buildings right beside a road on "agricultural land" is not the intended use for agricultural land. It is an eye sore and brings unwanted attention to our neighbourhood.
- **118** Water use, light pollution, what do they do with the contaminated soil?
- **119** I'm concerned about the giant cement buildings ruining good agricultural land when they should be classified as industrial.

120	They should not be located anywhere near livestock or food production
121	It should not be located on ALR land.
122	Yes, my concern is that agricultural land is for agriculture that requires the land, cannabis cannot be grown successfully in the ground here, therefore it is in a large building. It needs to them be in an industrial area. There is no use in using good farm land to put a building on.
123	Agricultural lands should be used for food farming not indoor cannabis production
124	I think it's fine.
125	Yes
126	Yes the land should be used for actual farming for food production.
127	Agricultural land should only be used for one thing and that is to produce food crops. With climate change a reality we should be supporting and giving our farmers incentives to produce a variety of crops instead of importing from other countries. Our major grocery chain advertises to buy BC you would be hard pressed to find any fruits or vegetables grown locally on the shelves and if they are, who can afford them.
128	Buildings are harder to move from alr once they are established
129	Food supply is an issue and land is not affordable. Allowing cannabis markets on ALR is making it harder for food/animal farmers to afford land.
130	They are ruining the life of country living.
131	There should be neighbourhood approval prior to any grow operations being put in place. There is a negative environmental affect, but more so the dangers that it adds to the neighbourhood and the surrounding residence and farms
132	Νο
133	The impact of the building on the soil. Large scale greenhouse farming of any kind will render acres of ALR farm land useless for generations, long after the building is gone. ALR land should stay reserved for the production of FOOD. I support and use cannabis, but I strongly do not believe it belongs on agricultural land.
134	It ruins neighborhoods. The smell, the look of the buildings that look more industrial and don't belong
135	Yes, agricultural land is meant for agriculture. Using agricultural land to put green houses on destroys the soil and makes it incredibly hard to ever use again. That land should be for growing food or supporting animal nutrition. It's a complete waste of agricultural land.
136	Agricultural lands are zoned to grow food. Cannabis is not food. Whether it's indoor or outdoor, neither should be allowed on agricultural land.
137	ALR cannabis production should be encouraged and made easier in BC because we are losing lots of revenue to other provinces and losing the BC BUD name which is a highly coveted product worldwide.
138	It should be permitted to enable a farm use like any other and not be unduly restricted but with appropriate regulation of any nuisances caused that are inconsistent with agricultural production and processing including that structures maintain an agricultural appearance (i.e. look like barns instead of metal or concrete industrial buildings. Indoor enables better control of nuisances such as light and odor in greenhouse use and if simply outdoor.

139	I feel that our agricultural lands primary use should be for growing food for our local people. With Covid it is very apparent that we should be taking steps at keeping our land available for food growing. One only has to take a flight over our planet to realize what a small portion of our land is suitable for growing food. Let's keep that land for our-selves and our children.
140	Yes. Don't want it.
141	They should not be on agricultural land and they spoil the neighbour hood where Many have farmed for years.
142	I don't want any so I have no ideas but I have plenty of concern, at the moment there is a completely illegal grow up in Dewdney on road It has been in complete violation of all the rules no permits there's no septic it's an obvious violation but the district does nothing they are very aware of it but nobody does anything about it
143	See above -this is the fertile Fraser valley and we have farm food production out here as well as cattle. Smaller indoor cannabis production is complementary but the massive greenhouses is not
144	Our food prices are going up because of the change from edible vegetation to cannabis. What were once greenhouses for cucumbers, mushrooms, etc are now for cannabis. With Covid causing price increases in food, do we really want to encourage another factor for food price increases?
145	The grower is taking the risk, so leave them alone! We don't need rules made by bureaucrats who are clueless and following a police force agenda!
146	Yes I do have concerns
147	Unapproved yes. They should be in the appropriate industrial areas with air handling systems that include scrubbers to remove the foul smells. The Regional District should look after the citizens and not look at this as a cash grab in taxes and fees. That's all it is!!!!You should be concerned for the health and welfare of the citizens!!!!!
148	I am not in favour of it especially on small parcels of land on less than 2 acres. My concern is also the proximity to neighbours, farming families with young children, and property values decreasing because of visual appearance and lack of upkeep of a production facility.
149	Small scale cannabis production should definitely not be allowed on smaller properties (less than an acre) because it is impossible to control the odour and noise
150	None at all
151	It leads to a waste of land and poor up keep of properties
152	Just don't use prime agriculture land. Use the areas that are not good to farm.
153	Agriculture lands should be used for farming the land not enclosing it in boxes and covered in gravel never to be seen again otherwise Agriculture land could be construed as being anywhere. A swamp, a gravel pit, a beach, etc. It is all about the soil not the area that it is in. Put these "greenhouses" on industrial land if they have to exist, away from the general public.
154	It is a legal commodity. Allow production of any size wherever viable.
155	Yes. They should be reserved for edible food.
156	No inspections, because of privacy act, cannot tell if legal or illegal. Too many in Area F already. When there is a horrible smell, no one comes to check it out. Have to be careful because you don't know if its legal and don't want repercussions against yourself for complaining.

157	Yes keep it away from populated areas. Land size should be 20+ acres also all residents within 2km should have a say or 100% approve the application
158	Temptation of the cash crop could lead to much agricultural land being used to grow drugs versus land use protected for food production.
159	Why would we waste land where we could grow food? Cannabis can be grown anywhere.
160	Yes, buildings on alr land ruin the land and the potential to use the land in the future. Plus the amount of restrictions already on the land for personal use it's not right to change rules for them.
161	Yea makes the area smell horrible and when you own a farm and are outside most of the day the smell of cannabis is very unappealing
162	Yes. My ideadon't have it on Agricultural lands at all!!
163	Agricultural land should not be used for indoor production. By using ag land and building massive greenhouses it renders the land useless to ever be able to grow crops on that land again. The top soil is stripped off and sold and gravel and concrete is put down. It can never be returned to crop land again such as grass or corn for dairy cattle, nor would a dairy farmer be able to afford it with all the buildings.
164	My concern is agriculture is being lost to production of this product large building to house this product replacing farms and greenhouse food products. Creating industrial looking buildings in agriculture land with cameras and security measures. Impacting people who live around these plants. This production and legalization of this product is the worst in years to come we will see generations displaying the behaviours caused by this substance.
165	The inevitable disgusting odor of cannabis would be a great detriment to quality of life for people and animals living in the area. The decision to produce cannabis near Chilliwack shows a serious lack of wisdom.
166	Yes, cannabis is not an agricultural product like milk, eggs, berries, mushrooms etc. That acres of agriculture land is in nursery stock is bad enough. Cannabis has absolutely no place on agricultural land. If it must be grown in huge glass houses on concrete pads that cover the soil that is probably trucked away before under glaring lights, keep it on industrial land as far away from people and wildlife as possible. Greenhouse coverage of good agricultural land is not an agricultural use!
167	Too large an area of coverage and water retention ponds. They become single use projects
168	Undesirable. Should not be allowed to be anywhere near the neighbours.
169	I'm concerned about licensed growers who allow non-licensed growing or criminal activity on their property. In my area, the growers have very messy yards and land with no maintenance, scattered garbage and grow supplies.
170	Neighbors should be able to have an input on having such a disruptive building put by their homes.
171	Cannabis is not an agriculture product. It is a drug. Do not waste extremely valuable agricultural lands on producing drugs. Produce food. The world is starving and you want to produce drugs instead of food?!??! WHAT?!
172	should not be on agricultural landwe are wasting possible food production space by continuing to allow large houses, and other operations on what should be used for food production so we aren't so dependent on imports
173	No cement floor greenhouses should ever be built on arable land. All arable lands should be for food/forage production

174	No concerns. Should also outdoor growing as well
175	Cannabis growers should not qualify for agricultural benefits as it is not an essential/agricultural use product.
176	It should be mandatory that all cannabis operations have the appropriate air filtration systems to keep it at zero odor
177	Νο
178	I would prefer to see indoor production in other places, so that agricultural land can be used for growing things.
179	So long as they've a license to produce and sell their product, and they're adhering to regulations set by the FVRD, I've no qualms with indoor cannabis production.

Is there anything else you would like to tell us?

#	Is there anything else you would like to tell us?
1	Yes, we have recreational property near Chilliwack Lake that is a community of 85 lots. There are at least 1 or 2 residents who grow cannabis and it affects the quality of life of all those around. we are lucky enough to be a distance from where these properties are that they most often do not affect us unless the wind is blowing our ways, but when you walk that way, the smell reeks. In an area of such great beauty in the great outdoors that we should get out and enjoy,, the odour spoils it
2	no
3	It's important that when move forward with cannabis legislation that we make it so small producers can produce with few obstacles. Communities are run on small business. There was too much regulation in alcohol production. We are seeing a complete turnaround with our beer industry and it's amazing. Let's start there with cannabis.
4	Not at the moment
5	I would like to limit the production of cannabis, which may cause pollution
6	Health of family and friends
7	It's alright now
8	Cherish good health, don't do illegal things
9	It is hoped to boost the local economy
10	The production of cannabis is harmful to health and should be reduced
11	I'll support you
12	Try not to smoke marijuana
13	It would have been helpful to have small scale and large scale production defined at the beginning of the appropriate questionnaire sections
14	I don't support it, but if my opinion doesn't work, I hope we can not plant it near the city, we can not grow it on agricultural fields, we can plant it indoors as much as possible, and we hope we can regulate the amount of planting.
15	Don't personally want any in our area, enough personal "legal" ones already in town
16	The sewerage system must be properly treated
17	I have not seen examples of small or large operations, so its not really possible to have an informed opinion
18	There is an illegal cannabis production on road in Dewdney. My grandchildren and great grandchildren live right beside it. I fear greatly for there health and safety. The smell is terrible and there is a lot of vehicle traffic coming and going. Can you please look into this?? I fear for my family!

19	There is an illegal grow op on Road in Dewdney. This area is surrounded by farming families with very young children. The smell is awful. There is also a lot of vehicles coming and going and this community is feeling very unsafe. Can you please please do something!!!
20	I am concerned that this more lucrative crop will drive up farm land prices for already struggling farmers and that with growing population we will need more farmland for food. With relatively small amount of farmable land, we need to preserve it for the future.
21	Do a good job in environmental protection
22	I would like to see more rcmp presence in the valley since i believe being out here it might bring more crime to the area since it's not patrolled regularly like a production facility would be in the city.
23	these operations do not put anything back in our communities, They pollute our water system and the owners NEVER live on site , also our children live and play in our community They also modify the existing building with no permits and making it unsafe for employees and first responders, also our transformers are overloaded for power sources already. I think our elected officials and FVRD employees should take this problem very serious because its a real problem in our area.
24	Please keep all cannabis production out of the lower mainland and away from any residential areas or any areas where people have to walk or hike or work.
25	if small scale production reduces both pollution and odour then I don't think large scale production should be considered.
26	I support small scale cannabis production in the region, if done responsibly and sustainably.
27	We already have a neighbour growing Pot next door and it smells terrible, we pay our taxes and should not have to put up with this !
28	There are enough illegal grow operations here in the Chwk. R. Valley.
29	Grow ops in residential areas ought to have scrubbers to deal with the odour. Site inspection to ensure scrubbers are adequate and these sorts of measurements ought to be done regularly.
30	Please do not allow cannabis production in Area E
31	I'm hoping that one day, these residential growers will be banned and forced to move into an industrial site with air scrubbers.
32	Keep it simple and smell free then it is great way to increase income potential for residents in the valley and good use of land I'm 100% for it if done right it will help with extra income for people that wants to produce it. No worst then pig or dairy farmers introduced it with good odours control no matter how big or small it should be just fine
33	Some people (myself included) have given up a lot to reside in a rural area that has preferred quality of living choices. I appreciate that FVRD is trying to respect those choices of residents. When I choose to live in a small community of homes, I don't appreciate seeing major changes near that community that negatively impact the quality of my living space.
34	Its interesting that the police are OFTEN observing the marijuana production site in the Chilliwack River Valley. It seems to attract a lot of homeless people also. Perhaps checking with the RCMP before making any zoning regulations might be an idea.
35	You regulate everything that I am allowed to do on my property to "protect" everyone. Regulations of drug production and use needs to be regulated to protect everyone.
36	The province dumps their problems onto the district's because of the small number of voters in the district versus the high population areas so they can continue to be voted into office and line their pockets with tax money and collect unreal pensions.
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

38	Personally I don't want to see massive cannabis operations up and down the CRV. The water table draw down and the industrial waste is not "green" usage.
39	Everyone is responsible for protecting the environment
40	Relevant departments need to rationally plan cannabis land, production, sales, and after-sales issues.
41	Minimize the damage and try our best to protect the earth's ecosystem.
42	Convenient transportation and complete supporting facilities
43	Hope to stay away from where humans live
44	Stay away from crowds and residential areas
45	I hope to stay away from residential areas, even in rural areas
46	I expect elected officials to be responsible to all citizens! We all need to eat but most do not require cannabis. Let's put our land and green houses back into food production where we all benefit.
47	Get rid of all the red tape
48	I moved here for pure fresh air and not have to smell Cannabis I don't smoke it's already enough odour from neibourghs that have their own use When you drive home in to the valley there are already a lot bad cannabis odours
49	get under control
50	Legalization of cannabis is not recommended
51	It would be useful to carefully review the destination of this raw material after it leaves the crop, if it complies with the regulations
52	is working to support small scale food farmers in BC. We have heard that many farmers would be happy to diversify their current commercial crop offering with sustainably grown cannabis, a move that could support farm viability now and far into the future.
53	The grow ups in post check are an issue for smell, resell, fire and currently the people that run them are not involved with the community. If fire control, waste manage and smells.
54	I don't support the growth of cannabis in the Chilliwack River Valley.
55	We have noticed that recent sales in the Chilliwack river Valley seem to be purchased and immediately start producing cannabis, evident by added electrical, dark plastic covering all windows, horrible odour, and frequently see vehicles going from one grow op to another, potentially bringing more crime into our valley. Guard dog(s) used to protect grow ops getting out and potentially harming someone pet or someone.
56	Small scale in Area E should be only on property zoned "R" - rural
57	The use of cannabis contributes to thousands of public health, social, and safety problems every year. We are opposed to the use and cultivation of cannabis at all levels and sizes.

I dont support cannabis grow facilities. It's unfair to have to put up with the odours and etc.

keeping nature and the air as pure as we can.

When I did not purchase property in a rural/forested area to have to deal with that. I believe in

37

58	Odour is a huge issue. They also cause allergies and asmtha attacks. You must enforce the use
	of proper filters in there systems. Who is pushing for more land use?
59	
60	Why do we need more cannabis operations in Floods area, Yale Road? That is not an industrial area. There wasn't any knowledge of these going in. You've done this very secretly. Typical political doing. No thought as to what the residence think or want. No input from them. No transparency. Must be a fair amount of money for the district. Why else would you allow these operations? Shame.
61	There are illegal grow operations still flourishing (e.g., alongRd.) The odour is aconstant on the Rotary Loop Trail, south of the Vedder River.
62	Would like to know how one large and two smaller operations can be put in amongst 12 property's, three of which have been bought by one large operation . One of these property's houses being used as an office. Tractor trailers backing down one small road as residents have to wait to leave their property (dead end road) and is not safe as emergency vehicles etc. cannot get by. Loud generators running when the power goes out, as it often does, chasing neighbors out of their own homes.
63	I do not feel cannabis should be grown on agricultural land. It should be grown in an industrial park with 24 hour security and proper ventilation and safety regulations.
64	We have just learned that another one is being built directly in front of us. Building permit stated it was to be a "Work Shop". How is this possible or ok? And now a third only 4 properties away? Tall fences and hedges only increase attention to the fact that these are no longer homes in a neighborhood. This is unacceptable and we are disgusted and devastated that all of this has been allowed to happen. And without consultation or consideration. Please stop!
65	I do not support the growing of any cannabis. The negative impact are too great.
66	This should be 100 percent banned from residential areas
67	Don't waste agricultural land on indoor production
68	This type of growing needs to be in an industrial area.
69	We don't want this in our area where ALR is so rare and used for our food supply production
70	Yes, we currently in area F have two now abandoned grow operations that stand partially finished and without proper permitting. How can we work to have these buildings removed?
71	Cannabis operations belong in industrial parks away from homes. they need to be monitored for environmental concerns including air, soil and water pollution
72	There is a production facility across the street from me and it smells. The traffic that comes and goes is constant. These businesses should not be in rural or residential areas.
73	Just allow growth and cultivation of cannabis in the district, it's no different than growing corn.
74	I think allowing cannabis rules for medicine is going to ruin the farm land and sustainability of food sources in the area.
75	All the damage drugs & alcohol abuse have done to our society as a whole I'm surprised that we even permit this kind of activity to become acceptable
76	There is currently a large grow going in atrd. They have already modified thebuilding and added a new power pole and transformer to supply power to the grow operation

All these strange guys are coming into our small farming community and setting up grow ops on 77 farm land and right beside the small children of are community. Area G needs to remain free of cannabis production, large or small scale. A half acre property in our neighbourhood was just purchased in 2020 and is already converted to commercial grow op. This \$ 00,000 purchase was only affordable to those who are in the drug trade. They 78 purchased with the full intent of growing pot in our neighbourhood. This needs to be banned. It makes it unaffordable for any local family to compete on any property that comes for sale and degrades the neighbourhood. The effect of your regulation discouraging use in some electoral areas is to compel such to only occur if in soil, a greenhouse or a building made before 2018 (ALC rules that bind even you) so if one cannot meet the building requirement then production is limited to means that cause odor 79 and light pollution that are much more difficult to control than inside production built to regulate those issues. The unsightly building issue can be dealt with regulation instead of prohibition. I hope by taking this survey that some-one is actually taking into account my opinion on this 80 topic. More than ever the Regional district needs to keep cannabis production away from neighborhoods where cannabis odour might possibly take over. 81 Please don't ruin the neighborhood for all. ALR - is large (over 11-15000 sq ft) indoor cannabis production consistent with that? I say it's 82 not ... please respect the integrity of the nicomen island farming area Stop bringing in rules to be enforced. I can see right through this you just want more of a police 83 state. You're not managing the parks and trees "now" concentrate on that ! Better regulation and mandatory signage or notification to surrounding property owners. This would allow neighbouring properties to be aware of what is being done legally and with proper 84 permits. I also have great concern about effects on ground / well water and irrigation when production facilities are on well water and disposal into septic fields and possible leaching into neighboring properties. There needs to be a better process for dealing with people who have started cannabis 85 production without authorization. Letters and vague threats of penalties are not enough. 86 I bought my land for this purpose as it was said it was approved. Having one starting next door makes you lose your sense of safety in your neighborhood traffic 87 at all times of night and they don't want to know there neighborhood 88 Give the micros a chance to get into the market There is a need for an anonymous tip line for illegal grow ops. Anyone in disagreement has 89 never been threatened by the operators of an illegal operation. 90 The land should be land based, not industrial buildings Most large production facilities draw large amounts of water, in Area G good water is already 91 hard to come by! I would not be in favor of any production facilities in Area G I think allowing the production and sale of cannabis products in Hope will be a positive action. 92 Bringing livable wage jobs to a town that has few options in that category. 93 There are too many grow ops in Boston Bar in residential area None should be allowed in residential areas because of the odour, fire hazard, access by 94 children, unsightly and neglected properties as we have now in town.

- **95** There is plenty of industrial land not being used. The growers can find those buildings and convert them. Leave the agricultural land alone.
- **96** Start cracking down on the people growing in residential areas. Probably growing too much than what they are allowed.

I am already tired of people coming to my home asking how i can stand the smell from existing

growers. The traffic is endless day and night on a rural cul-de-sac. There have already been breakins on the site. I disagree with the use of dogs running freely from the site.
The amount of cannabis production locations in the Dewdney/Deroche area has increased substantially. I don't have a problem with cannabis however these people are buying neighbors

98 houses and turning the shops and barns into grow ops. It affects the neighborhood because often people no longer live in the houses and they go abandoned or rented out and not taken care of. I would like to see more regulation on the amount of allowed production facilities allowed in a certain sq km or something like t

Yes don't destroy Chilliwack and the many beautiful areas all around by building large scale and taking away the air quality via smell to people who live in these areas because they enjoy them.

- **99** If you are going to do it which let's face it you are. Then stick them in industrial areas this is an industry after all. I had to sell my house in Sardis and move as I ended up with so many neighbours smoking this garbage. Not a fan at all of this industry.
- 100Put a stop to this entire idea of cannabis production near Chilliwack. It would completely
infringe upon the rights of citizens and animals in the area. Use wisdom in decision-making.Cannabis production, both small and large scale, causes unacceptable odors that no amount of
- filtering etc. controls and light pollution that extends for many kilometers around. I am totally opposed to cannabis production indoors anywhere. Growers can cultivate it the old fashion way, outside in fields, if they want to produce it.
- **102** People who are not involved in the cannabis industry are suffering due to what is happening at neighbouring properties.
- 103 Cannabis production should have the same set of rules as any other normal ag product
- 104 It infuriates me that a company that grows plants as a business cannot even mow their lawn. I drive past 6 grow operations everyday and they are eye sores
- 105 STOP WASTING TIME ON CANNABIS. It is not worth it!
- **106** we should concentrate on localizing food production and not be supporting grow ops...people can grow their own marijuana for personal use and the government should stay out of it
- **107** Get it done already coming on year three ridiculous.
- **108** This is a legal and well regulated industry. Should be treated like any other agriculture industry.
- **109** I hope to see strict regulations with regards to odour management.

People who live in the ALR should not have to put themselves in harm's way simply by driving home from work. The stench from these operations is also beyond horrible. Cannabis

110 operations should be relegated to an industrial area, buildings should have air filtration systems, and gates could allow the workers through to keep people safe. Cannabis operations should not be spread all over the lower mainland.

Ease up on people trying to sell legal marijuana (specifically in Abbotsford). It's ridiculous how

111 there are very few licensed distributors in the FVRD. The harder it becomes to get legal, taxable, and cheap, marijuana, more and more people will just return to purchasing it off the streets or producing their own.